### Optimization weekly meeting Apr 27, 2006



### Chapter 4: Hypothesis Testing and Estimation



## Outline part 1

### ⊖Statistical Inference

- OIntroduction to Hypothesis Testing
- Sampling Distributions and Hypothesis Testing Strategy
- Test of Hypotheses about Means
- Hypothesis about Correlations

# Outline

part 1



- OIntroduction to Hypothesis Testing
- Sampling Distributions and Hypothesis Testing Strategy
- ⊖Test of Hypotheses about Means
- Hypothesis about Correlations

**Statistical inference** is inference from statistics (functions on samples like  $\bar{x}, s^2, s$ ) to parameters (functions on populations like  $\mu_x, \sigma^2, \sigma$ ).

Need to focus on relationship between the number of data in a sample, the variance of the data, and our confidence in conclusions.

#### **Hypothesis Testing**

Answer a yes-or-no question about a population and assess the probability that the answer is wrong.

#### **Parameter Estimation**

Estimate the true value of a parameter given a statistic.

Statistical inference is inference from statistics (functions on samples like  $\bar{x}, s^2, s$  ) to parameters (functions on populations like  $\mu_x, \sigma^2, \sigma$ ).

Need to focus on relationship between the number of data in a sample, the variance of the data, and our confidence in conclusions.

### Hypothesis Testing

LIT	How likely	is a
An	sample result	given
the	the population?	apout

estion about a population and assess answer is wrong.

#### **Parameter Estimation**

Estimate the true value of a parameter given a statistic.

**Statistical inference** is inference from statistics (functions on samples like  $\bar{x}, s^2, s$ ) to parameters (functions on populations like  $\mu_x, \sigma^2, \sigma$ ).

Need to focus on relationship between the number of data in a sample, the variance of the data, and our confidence in conclusions.

	Hypothesis Testing		Parameter Estimation	
Hy An the	How likely is a sample result given an assumption about the population?	estion about answer is wro	What is the most likely value of a parameter, and what are likely bounds on	Sess
<b>Parameter Estimation</b> Estimate the true value of a parameter		the value of a parameter given a statistic?		

# Outline

part 1

- Statistical Inference
- OIntroduction to Hypothesis Testing
  - Sampling Distributions and Hypothesis Testing Strategy
  - Test of Hypotheses about Means
  - Hypothesis about Correlations

The question of interest is simplified into two competing claims / hypothesis (the hypothesis are often statements about population parameters): the null hypothesis, denoted  $H_0$  and the alternative hypothesis, denoted  $H_1$ .

Special consideration is given to  $H_0$  because it relates to the statement being tested, whereas  $H_1$  relates to the statement to be accepted if/when  $H_0$  is rejected.

Statistical hypothesis testing doesn't prove  $H_0$  true or false; it bounds the probability of incorrectly asserting - based on a sample result - that  $H_0$  is false.

The difficult part is establishing the probability of incorrectly rejecting  $H_0$ .

Hypothesis Testing How likely is a sample result given an assumption about the population?

Sec 4.2

# Outline

part 1

Statistical Inference
Introduction to Hypothesis Testing
Sampling Distributions and Hypothesis Testing Strategy
Test of Hypotheses about Means
Hypothesis about Correlations

A sampling distribution is the distribution of a statistic calculated from all possible samples of a given size, drawn from a given population.

Most sampling distribution are estimated or determined analytically, not constructed, because the population from which samples are drawn are very large or infinite.

If one draws many samples of a given size from a population and calculate a statistic, then the resulting empirical sampling distribution of the statistic will probably be quite similar to the theoretical sampling distribution.

7

Hypothesis Testing How likely is a sample result given an assumption about the population? Classical statistical methods calculate sampling distribution exactly or estimate them analytically.

### **Exact Sampling Distribution**:

The sampling distribution of the proportion can be calculated exactly (e.g. tossing a coin. Is the coin fair?)

All possible proportions are: 
$$\frac{0}{N}, \frac{1}{N}, \dots, \frac{N}{N}$$

The probability distribution over these values is the binomial distribution. The probability of a particular sample proportion is:

$$\frac{N!}{i!(N-i)!}r^{i}(1-r)^{N-i}$$

where N is the number of tosses, r is the probability that a single toss will land head, and i is the number of heads observed.

Sec 4.3.2

Classical statistical methods calculate sampling distribution exactly or estimate them analytically.

### **Exact Sampling Distribution**:



where N is the number of tosses, r is the probability that a single toss will land head, and i is the number of heads observed.

Sec 4.3.2

### **Estimated Sampling Distribution**:

The sampling distribution of the mean can be estimated

#### **Central Limit Theorem**

The sampling distribution of the mean of samples of size N approaches a normal distribution as N increase. If the samples are drawn from a population with mean  $\mu$  and a standard deviation  $\sigma$ , then the mean of the sampling distribution is  $\mu$  and its standard deviation is  $\sigma/\sqrt{N}$ .

These statements hold irrespective of the shape of the population distribution from which the samples are drawn.

If you draw samples from any population you like, and provided the samples are large (usually means  $N \ge 30$ ), the sampling distribution of the sample mean is normal.

### Sampling distribution demo:

http://www.ruf.rice.edu/~lane/stat\_sim/sampling\_dist/index.html

The Standard Error of the Mean : the standard deviation of the sampling distribution of the mean; is denoted  $\sigma_{ar{x}}$ 

The standard deviation of the sampling distribution represents uncertainty about  $\mu$ . Formally

$$\sigma_{\bar{x}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{N}}$$

where  $\sigma$  is the population standard deviation.

According to the central limit theorem, the mean of the sampling distribution approaches the population mean  $\mu$  as N increases.

Standard errors of almost all statistics are hard to calculate or have no closed form expression.

### Outline part 1

Statistical Inference
Introduction to Hypothesis Testing
Sampling Distributions and Hypothesis Testing Strategy
Test of Hypotheses about Means
Hypothesis about Correlations

**Z Test:** take a sample, and transform it into Z score

$$z_i = \frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{s}$$

Z score transformation does not change the underlying distribution, just the scale.

This does allow for certain useful operations: comparison of relative position in different samples, combination of scores on different scales.  $\bar{r} - \mu$ 

$$Z = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma_{\bar{x}}}$$

Essentialy it asks: Given a population with a certain mean, how likely is it I could draw a sample with my given sample mean?

Z score falls in the lower or upper 5% of the sampling distribution, it's considered adequate evidence against  $H_0$ .

Z score falls in the lower or upper 2.5% of the sampling distribution, it's considered good evidence against  $H_0$ .

Z score falls in the lower or upper 0.5% of the sampling distribution, it's considered strong evidence against  $H_0$ .

Sec 4.4

**Z Test:** take a sample, and transform it into Z score

$$z_i = \frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{s}$$

Z score transformation does not change the underlying distribution, just the scale.

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

Sec 4.4

12

**Critical value:** the value corresponding to a given significance level. This cutoff value determines the boundary between those samples resulting in a test statistic that leads to rejecting  $H_0$  and those that lead to a decision not to reject  $H_0$ .

**p value:** the probability of obtaining a particular sample result given the null hypothesis.

(By convention, one usually doesn't reject the null hypothesis unless p < 0.05. This is the largest bound on p that most researchers will call statistically significant.)

An exact value for p is not the exact probability of the sample result under  $H_0$  unless the sampling distribution is discrete.

Sand Helder and Sand Carl Carl Contents of the state of t

ATTACK CALLS SAME TO AND A

When  $\sigma$  is unknown: it's rarely the case that we know the population standard deviation, so we have to estimate it from the sample standard deviation:  $\hat{\sigma} = s$ 

Similarly, the standard error is estimated as follows:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\bar{x}} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sqrt{N}} = \frac{s}{\sqrt{N}}$$

Z Test can be run as:

$$Z = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\hat{\sigma}_{\bar{x}}} = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\frac{s}{\sqrt{N}}}$$

When all population parameters are unknown: a common tactic is to compare a sample to an imagined null hypothesis distribution.

'Suppose a population does exist and its mean is x; could my sample have come from it?'

In practice we use s, the sampled standard deviation, to estimate  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma_{\bar{x}}$  and to run the test as before.

If N  $\geq$  30, we can be sure that the sampling distribution is normal. But what about smaller samples, like N=20, N=10 or even N=5 ?

**t distribution:** the sampling distribution of the mean for small N; it looks a lot like the normal distribution, but more of the mass of the distribution is in the tails.

A sample results that's highly improbable when matched to a normal distribution is more likely when matched to the t distribution.

t test can be run as:

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\hat{\sigma}_{\bar{x}}} = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\frac{s}{\sqrt{N}}}$$
  
the same as Z test, except that you compare a t score to the t  
distribution. There is a t distribution for each N.

If N  $\geq$  30, we can be sure that the sampling distribution is normal. But what about smaller samples, like N=20, N=10 or even N=5 ?

**t distribution:** the sampling distribution of the mean for small N; it looks a lot like the normal distribution, but more of the mass of the distribution is in the tails.

A sample results that's highly normal distribution is more distribution.

t test can be run as:

t Test it depends on one important assumption: The distribution from which the sample is drawn is normal. Whether the data points are Normally distributed can be assessed normally distributed can be assessed by a normality test, such as by a normality test, such as

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\hat{\sigma}_{\bar{x}}} =$$

the same as Z test, except that you compare a t score to the t distribution. There is a t distribution for each N.

16

Sec 4.4

Three types of t tests are commonly run on means:

**One-sample t Test**: assess whether a sample was drawn from a population with known mean  $\mu$ .

**One-sample t Test**: assess whether a hypothetical  $\mu$  could be the mean of the population from which a sample was drawn.

**Two-sample t Test**: assess whether the means of two normally distributed populations are equal.

(we compare the means of two samples to see whether they could have been drawn from populations with equal means).

There are different versions of the t Test depending on whether the two samples are independent of each other or paired, so that each member of one sample has a unique relationship with a particular member of the other sample.

### Outline part 1

# Statistical Inference Introduction to Hypothesis Testing Sampling Distributions and Hypothesis Testing Strategy Test of Hypotheses about Means Hypothesis about Correlations

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

Linear correlations between 1000 pairs of numbers. The data are graphed on the lower left and their correlation coefficients listed on the upper right. Each square in the upper right corresponds to its mirror-image square in the lower left, the "mirror" being the diagonal of the whole array. Each set of points correlates maximally with itself, as shown on the diagonal (all correlations = +1 Sec 4.5

We might believe, on the basis of a low correlation, that two variables are independent. To be more certain, we want to test the hypothesis that their correlation is zero.

To do so, we need the sampling distribution of the correlation coefficient, which is complicated.

We can transform the correlation into another form that has a nicer sampling distribution.

**Fisher's r to z transform**: produces a statistic, the sampling distribution of which is approximately normal under the assumption that the variables are normally distributed.

The statistic is:  $z(r) = 0.5 \ln \frac{1+r}{1-r}$ 

The mean of the sampling distribution is:  $z(\rho) = 0.5 \ln \frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho}$ The estimated standard error is:  $\hat{\sigma}_{z(r)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-3}}$ 

Sec 4.5

We might believe, on the bacic variables are in test the hypothe Fisher's r to z tra

To do so, we nee coefficient, which

We can transform

Fisher's r to z transform After the transformation we can run a Z test of the null hypothesis that the hypothesis that is correlation of two variables is some number.

correlation, that two certain, we want to s zero.

n of the correlation

..... into another form that has a

nicer sampling distribution.

**Fisher's r to z transform**: produces a statistic, the sampling distribution of which is approximately normal under the assumption that the variables are normally distributed.

The statistic is:  $z(r) = 0.5 \ln \frac{1+r}{1-r}$ 

The mean of the sampling distribution is:  $z(\rho) = 0.5 \ln \frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho}$ The estimated standard error is:  $\hat{\sigma}_{z(r)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-3}}$ 

Sec 4.5

# End of part 1